?مایوسی or pessimism حقیقت پسندی

### Faisal Bari Published September 30, 2022

The writer is a senior research fellow at the Institute of Development and Economic Alternatives, and an associate professor of economics at Lums.

WE want every child in Pakistan to have access رسائ to quality education for 10 years of their life (five to 16 years). This is a promise that is enshrined شامل، درج in our Constitution (Article 25A). It is also part and parcel مراد ایک ضروری حصہ of a number of international commitments and goals we, as a nation, have signed off on بر دستخط کیے.

Some 20 million-odd تقریباً بیس ملین children between five and 16 years are out of school in Pakistan currently. And this situation has not changed over the last many years. But, equally tragically افسوسناک طور بر most of the children who are going to schools (madressahs, government schools and low-fee private schools) are getting a poor quality of education. We have plenty کافی of evidence, in terms of test results of the 'learning poverty'. It is only the 'elite' high-cost private and public schools, that only two to three per cent of our school-going children attend, that are able to provide a decent معیاری quality of education.

We have known these facts for a long time. Nothing new to see and report here. The tragedy الميہ is that despite all the rhetoric مسلسل آنے والے of successive مسلسل آنے والے

imposing لاگو کرنے 'education emergencies', creating 'demographic dividends', reforming اصلاحات کرنا education, imposing 'deliverology' and what not, nothing has changed. Enrolment rates have hardly moved at all and if we stick قائم رہے to the trend برجحان, we will not have universal عالمگیر enrolment at even the primary level for decades to come. Learning outcomes نتائج have been more or less static برقرار برقرار برقرار برقرار برقرار والمعام المعام ال

Covid made matters a lot worse: learning poverty increased, enrolment rates took a hit and even dropouts went up. The floods this year have made matters even worse. Thousands of schools are closed, many have been damaged; many are being used as camps. It might be months before schools in the flood-impacted areas are reopened, and it might be years before all affected schools are rehabilitated بحال or reconstructed.

It is not that other countries have fared similarly ایسا ہی کیا، یا . Not at all. There are so many examples of countries, even around us — many that started with far less than what Pakistan had a few decades ago — who have passed us by. Bangladesh is a recent example. It is not a question of tough economic conditions; it has been a matter of priorities ترجیحات and choices.

Government after government has promised to raise education expenditure اخراجات, as a percentage of GDP, to four per cent, a sort of minimum that international organisations recommend. But no government has been able to do it. But if you look at any set of comparative countries, you find they spend a lot more than 4pc on education. The poor educational outcomes

in Pakistan should not be a surprise. It is the outcome of decisions that we, as a nation, have taken and continue to take.

This is where the rethinking needs to come in now. Parents, civil society, development and policy professionals, and many others concerned فكر مند with the future of the country and the future of our children have continued to argue بحث كرنا for the 'right' to quality education to be extended to every child in Pakistan. And we should continue the good fight. But, do we need to set realistic حقيقت يسندانہ targets too?

This is a hard question to resolve. And it is hard to get the balance right too. We know that if Pakistan is to develop, if the future of the country is to look better, we need the youth to be educated, motivated, trained and involved in the affairs of the country. It is hard to see a future for Pakistan if we continue on the current trajectory فيار. But given the outcomes نعائج and choices of the last few decades, should we continue to argue for '10 years of quality education for every child'? If we step back from the demand for quality education for every child, will this not be a travesty تمسخر of justice as well? We know it is the children from the poorer households who will bear the brunt خميازه of the lowered demand.

If we decide to argue for education for all for five years only, the transition منتقلی from primary to higher levels for the children from poorer households is probably going to get even worse. And if education is the way to break the hold of intergenerational نسل در نسل poverty, reducing transition rates for children from poorer households would be the exact opposite of what we would want from educational outcomes.

If we say, let us focus only on foundational and/or functional literacy and numeracy اعدادوشمار for the millions who are out of school and not ask for 10 years of education, again, it will lead to the children from the poorest households being segregated الگ کرنا into these programmes.

But, on the other hand, if we do not rethink the strategy عملی for the millions who are out of school and the millions who are getting poor quality education, will things continue as they are? What is the benefit of that? Would it not be better to work out some more 'realistic' targets? Between the devil and the deep blue sea, as they say.

What is the way forward? The status quo موجوده نظام is not working and is not sustainable پائیدار: outcomes will continue to deteriorate خراب ہونا with every passing year and with every shock خراب ہونا that we sustain برقرار یا برداشت کرنا for change is not possible. The future of our children and our country depends on the investments we make today. But should we continue to argue for '10 years of quality education for all' which, it seems from all indicators, will not happen for many decades to come — if at all? Or should we start thinking through more 'realistic' targets? But is 'realism' another word for giving up on the minimalist dreams for a better and more equitable مساوی society?

The writer is a senior research fellow at the Institute of Development and Economic Alternatives, and an associate professor of economics at Lums.

Published in Dawn, September 30th, 2022

#### The whole truth

#### **Editorial**

شدید، سخت THE war on truth has never been more relentless than it is today. Authoritarianism آمریت is on the rise and of 'alternative facts' have multiple channels علمبردار of communication whereby they can <mark>obfuscate</mark> مبہم کرنا, deflect and <mark>deliberately جان بوجھ کر misinform. World News Day 2022</mark> is an occasion to celebrate fact-based journalism and remind people why this calling is so critical to democracy and human rights, to all those values that make the world a more livable رہنے کے قابل place. When journalism is done well, when journalists can do their job without having to second-guess themselves for fear of putting a step 'wrong', they have the power to shine a light in the darkest corners and hold governments' feet to the fire. Granted, this may seem like a ذاتی and many a time <mark>vested , تصور fantasy</mark> مثالی معاشرت <mark>utopian</mark> interests do come in the way of this objective — but it should .goal خواہش مند be at least an <mark>aspirational</mark> بہرحال nevertheless

Unfortunately, in Pakistan we seem to be drifting بهنا، بڑھنا in reverse. With hugely consequential نتیجہ خیز decisions on the political landscape منظر نامہ happening behind closed doors, it is fertile ground for conjecture قیاس آرائیوں and conspiracy سازشی theories. To that, add propaganda and 'fake news'

wheeled out as 'journalism', and the result is a citizenry losing trust in mainstream media. Social media – the go-to news source especially for Pakistan's massive youth demographic فروغ دینا erases context and <mark>shades of grey, fostering آبادیاتی</mark> a correspondingly اسی مناسبت سے reductive thought process. Together, both have led to the extreme social polarisation that we can see today. Nevertheless, many journalists, despite شاندار continue to do stellar دهمکیوں, continue to do stellar work, uncovering facts and asking the searching questions power and must be held استعمال کرنا should face as a matter of course. Perhaps جوابده the media as a whole must do more to showcase دکھانا، مظاہر ہ such journalism. It could also do a better job of explaining كرنا سختی سے to its audience why they, the people, must fiercely guard their right to information which, in turn, depends on the right to free speech exercised by the press. No trade-off is .لاعلمی، بےخبری worth the price of being kept in <mark>ignorance</mark>

Noxious نقصان ده though some of its effects are, social media is the apotheosis اپنی مثال آپ of a process that began some two decades ago when Pakistani television news channels exploded on the scene. The frenetic جنونی news cycle reduced information to easily digestible, transient عارضی sound bites. As the audience, with advertisers following suit, shifted to the electronic medium, the circulation of newspapers went into steady decline. Sept 25 was National Newspaper Readership Day, an opportunity to appreciate the unique advantages that print journalism enjoys. An island of stability amid the din تباہی of digital and electronic media, print — especially newspapers of record — still inspires a certain level of trust. After all, the

permanence مستقل مزاجی of the medium demands a higher level of diligence محنت on the part of its <mark>practitioners</mark> as well as the grace to <mark>acknowledge</mark> تسلیم کرنا errors. For those reasons alone, newspapers are more relevant than ever today.

Published in Dawn,

Learning poverty means being unable to read and understand a simple text by age 10.

Demographic dividend refers to the growth in an economy that is the result of a change in the age structure of a country's population. The change in age structure is typically brought on by a decline in a country's birth and death rates.

# deliverology (uncountable)

A method that uses inspections, goal-setting, etc. to ensure that government departments implement لاگو کرتے ہیں desired reforms

The **enrolment rate** is the ratio of pupils, students and apprentices شاگرد in initial education of a given age, enroled in an educational institution, to the total population of the same age

## **GDP**

Gross domestic product is a monetary measure of the market value of all the final goods and services produced in a specific time period by countries

## **Functional literacy**

Refers to the capacity of a person to engage in all those activities in which literacy is required for effective function of his or her group and community and also for enabling him or her to continue to use reading, writing and calculation for his or her own and the community's development

If you say that you are between the devil and the deep blue sea, you mean that you are in a difficult situation where you have to choose between two equally unpleasant courses of action

Second- guess: to guess what someone will do in the future or .to criticize someone's decision after it has been made, and say what you think is wrong with it.

To hold someone's **feet to the fire** means to pressure someone to do something, to hold someone accountable, to force someone to comply

Wheel out: to show or use something to help you do something, even when it has often been seen or heard before They wheeled out the same old arguments we'd heard so many times before.

# Shades of grey

idiom. the fact of it not being clear in a situation what is right and wrong: The film presents a straightforward choice between good and evil, with no shades of grey. Uncertainty غير

Social polarization is the segregation within a society that emerges when factors such as income inequality, real-estate fluctuations and economic displacement result in the differentiation of social groups from high- income to low-income

Definition of as a matter of course

-used to say that something will or should happen because it

is natural, usual, or logical You should take proper precautions as a matter of course. We went out to dinner on her birthday, and as a matter of course we paid for her meal.

A trade-off (or tradeoff) is a situational decision that involves diminishing or losing one quality, quantity, or property of a set or design in return for gains in other aspects. In simple terms, a tradeoff is where one thing increases, and another must decrease